

**RXTE Observations of 0.1-300 Hz QPOs
in the Microquasar GRO J1655-40**

Ronald A. Remillard, Edward H. Morgan

Center for Space Research, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Room 37-595,
Cambridge MA 02139

Jeffrey E. McClintock

Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, 60 Garden St., Cambridge MA 02138

Charles D. Bailyn

Department of Astronomy, Yale University, P. O. Box 208101, New Haven, CT 06520

and

Jerome A. Orosz

Dept. of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Pennsylvania State University, 525 Davey
Laboratory, University Park, PA 16802

Received _____; accepted _____

ABSTRACT

We have investigated 52 RXTE pointed observations of GRO J1655-40 spanning the X-ray outburst that commenced on 1996 April 25 and lasted for 16 months. Our X-ray timing analyses reveal four types of QPOs: three with relatively stable central frequencies at 300 Hz, 9 Hz, and 0.1 Hz, and a fourth that varied over the range 14–28 Hz. The 300 Hz and 0.1 Hz QPOs appear only at the highest observed luminosities ($L_X > 0.15L_{Edd}$), where the power-law component dominates the X-ray spectrum, and the inner disk is characterized by a ~ 1.5 keV color temperature and an estimated inner radius of only 10-20 km. At lower luminosity ($L_X \sim 0.1L_{Edd}$), the thermal component dominates the spectrum; the disk is somewhat cooler (~ 1.3 keV) and its inner radius is larger. In this state only two of the QPOs are observed: the broad and spectrally "soft" 9 Hz QPO, and the narrow, "hard" QPO that varies from 14-28 Hz as the hard flux decreases. At still lower luminosities ($L_X < 0.1L_{Edd}$), the power-law component contributes less than 30% of the total luminosity, the inner disk appears both larger and cooler, the 9 Hz QPO vanishes, and only a very weak (rms 0.3%) and narrow QPO at 28 Hz remains.

The 300 Hz QPO is likely to be analogous to the stationary QPO at 67 Hz seen in the microquasar GRS1915+105. We discuss four models of these high-frequency QPOs which depend on effects due to general relativity. The models suggest that these rapid QPOs may eventually provide a measure of the mass and rotation of the accreting black hole. The 9 Hz QPO displays a spectrum consistent with a thermal origin, but this frequency does not appear to be consistent with any of the natural time scales associated with the disk, or with the inferred values of the mass and rapid spin of the black hole. The mechanism for the 14-28 Hz QPOs appears to be linked to the power-law component, as

do the 1-10 Hz QPOs in GRS1915+105. Thus, these low-frequency QPOs have the potential to lead us to the origin of the energetic electrons that radiate the power-law spectral component. Finally, we show data for GRO J1655-40 and GRS1915+105 as each source teeters between relative stability and a state of intense oscillations at 0.1 Hz. A comparison of the sources' spectral parameters allows us to speculate that the black hole mass in GRS1915+105 is very large – possibly in the range 39-70 $M_{\odot}$.

Subject headings: black hole physics – stars: individual (GRO J1655-40) – stars: oscillations – X-rays: stars

1. Introduction

The black hole binary GRO J1655-40 is one of the rare Galactic X-ray sources (along with GRS1915+105 and possibly Cyg X-3) known to produce relativistic radio jets (Mirabel & Rodriguez 1994; Tingay et al. 1995; Hjellming & Rupen 1995; Mioduszewski et al. 1997; Newell, Garrett, & Spencer 1998). These jet sources, and a few other Galactic X-ray sources that exhibit double-lobed radio structure (e.g. 1E1740.7-2942; see Smith et al. 1997 and references therein), are collectively referred to as “microquasars”, since they have properties analogous to radio-loud active galactic nuclei. Investigations of microquasars provide opportunities to search for the cause of relativistic jets, to probe the properties of accreting black holes and their mass-donor companion stars, and to measure the spectral evolution associated with the various accretion states. GRO J1655-40 is an especially important target for such studies, since its distance and black hole mass are well established: $d \sim 3.2$ kpc has been inferred from radio absorption features (Tingay et al. 1995; McKay & Kesteven 1994), and $M_1 \sim 7.0 M_\odot$ has been derived from optical investigations of the binary system (Bailyn et al. 1995; Orosz & Bailyn 1997).

GRO J1655-40, was discovered with BATSE on 1994 July 27. For about four months thereafter a correlation between hard X-ray outbursts (20–100 keV) and radio flares was observed, and this established a relationship between jet formation and hard X-ray activity (Harmon et al. 1995). However, subsequently during 1994–95 the hard X-ray outbursts continued unabated while the radio responses decreased (Tavani et al. 1996). Thus jet formation in GRO J1655-40 depends on some unknown factor(s) in addition to hard X-ray outbursts.

The X-ray spectrum of GRO J1655-40 has been detected with OSSE to photon energies above 1 MeV (Kroeger et al. 1996; Tomsick et al. 1998). Above 50 keV, the spectrum is consistent with a power law function and a photon index in the range of 2.4–2.8. Soft X-ray

observations were made with ROSAT during 1994 August (Greiner, Predehl, & Pohl 1995) and with ASCA during 1994 August–September and 1995 August (Ueda et al. 1998). The detected flux (2–10 keV) was in the range of $0.2\text{--}4.7 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ with a suggestion of persistence in soft X-rays during the times between hard X-ray outbursts. Below 10 keV, the spectrum is dominated by a component which is attributed to thermal emission from the inner accretion disk.

Spectral fits for this thermal X-ray component indicate that the size of the inner disk in GRO J1655-40 appears to be relatively small and hot, compared to the disks of other black hole binaries. A nonrotating $7 M_{\odot}$ black hole would be expected to have an inner disk larger than the innermost stable orbit of $6 GM_1/c^2$ or 62 km, while a rapidly rotating (prograde) black hole may have a last stable orbit as small as $1 GM_1/c^2$ or 10 km (see Zhang, Cui, & Chen 1997 and references therein). GRO J1655-40 appears to have an inner disk radius of 22 km or $2.1 GM_1/c^2$ (Zhang, Cui, & Chen 1997), which was interpreted as evidence that the black hole is rotating at $\sim 93\%$ of the maximum rate (Zhang, Cui, & Chen 1997). Such rapid rotation may be the distinguishing characteristic of the microquasars.

During late 1995 and early 1996, GRO J1655-40 entered a very low or quiescent accretion state (e.g. Ueda et al. 1998), permitting a clear optical view of the companion star. This allowed Orosz & Bailyn (1997) to improve the determinations of the binary period (2.62 d), the mass function ($3.2 M_{\odot}$), and the spectral type of the secondary (F4IV). They further modeled the “ellipsoidal variations” of the secondary star and partial eclipses of the accretion disk. Their analysis, using B,V,R, and I bandpasses, provides an exceptionally good fit for the binary inclination angle (69.5°) and the mass ratio. From these results, they deduce masses of 7.0 ± 0.2 and $2.34 \pm 0.12 M_{\odot}$ for the black hole and companion star, respectively (also see van der Hooft et al. 1998). This is the only microquasar for which there is a clear understanding of the masses and dimensions of the binary system.

The All Sky Monitor (ASM) aboard the *Rossi X-ray Timing Explorer* (RXTE) began regular monitoring of the X-ray sky at 2–12 keV in early 1996. For the first two months of ASM observations, the source was quiescent and not detected. Then, on 1996 April 25 a new outburst was discovered (Remillard et al. 1996). With great fortune, concurrent optical monitoring was in progress, and it was determined that optical brightening preceded the X-ray turn-on by 6 days, beginning first in the I band and then occurring sequentially in the R, V, and B bands (Orosz et al. 1997). These results provide evidence favoring a two-zone accretion flow in quiescent X-ray novae: a cold outer disk and an extremely hot inner disk with an advection-dominated accretion flow (Hameury et al. 1997).

Herein we report the results of X-ray timing investigations derived from a series of 52 RXTE pointed observations of GRO J1655-40 covering the 1996–1997 outburst. A brief and preliminary account of these results has been reported previously (Remillard et al. 1997). We describe four types of transient quasi-periodic oscillations (QPOs) in X-rays that span 0.1 to 300 Hz. Three of these QPO types appear only when the luminosity of the hard power-law component is high. Moreover, the 300 Hz QPO is seen only when the power-law component dominates the spectrum, and the total X-ray luminosity exceeds $\sim 10\text{--}15\%$ of the Eddington limit (L_{Edd}). This fast QPO appears to be analogous to the stationary 67 Hz QPO seen in GRS1915+105 (Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner 1997; Remillard & Morgan 1998).

2. Observations with the RXTE All Sky Monitor

The RXTE ASM scans the celestial sphere roughly five times per day. Each of its three cameras contains a position-sensitive proportional counter that is mounted below a coded mask. The typical sensitivity for measurements averaged over one day is ~ 7 mCrab at 3σ significance over the full energy range of the instrument. Data in the form of position

histograms are accumulated in three energy channels: 1.5–3.0, 3–5, and 5–12 keV. The mask shadow patterns are deconvolved to yield X-ray source intensities, which are then normalized to the response characteristics of camera #1. Further details regarding the instrument and data analysis methods are described by Levine et al. (1996).

The ASM light curve for GRO J1655-40 is shown in Figure 1. These data have been renormalized via the instrument calibrations that were revised on 1998 March 15. The top panel displays the X-ray count rate at 2–12 keV; the vertical lines above the data points indicate the times of RXTE pointed observations which are of central importance to this paper. As shown below in Section 4, the hard X-ray component is usually sufficiently steep that the 2–12 keV count rate is a fairly good representation of the overall X-ray luminosity. The bottom panel of Figure 1 shows measurements of the spectral hardness ratio, $HR2$, which is defined as the ASM count rate at 5–12 keV relative to the rate at 3–5 keV. The overall profile of this outburst is markedly different from the fast rise and slow exponential decay characteristic of many X-ray novae (Chen, Shrader, & Livio 1997). In the case of GRO J1655-40, the first wave in X-ray brightness lasts for about 270 days. Initially the source is in a soft state, but then it evolves into a hard, flaring state. The highest flux during these flares (285 c/s) corresponds to an X-ray luminosity (unabsorbed) near 2×10^{38} erg s⁻¹ at 2–12 keV, which is $\sim 0.2 L_{Edd}$ for GRO J1655-40. The isolated low points in the ASM light curve have been shown to be associated with absorption dips that occur in a narrow range of binary phase (Kuulkers et al. 1998).

After MJD 50400, the X-ray flux decreases while the spectrum softens, reaching a local minimum near MJD 50460. However, this evolution is interrupted by a second wave in brightness that extends the outburst for another 200 days. The second maximum also displays an interval of increased variability and spectral hardening. However, compared to the first maximum, the intensity is substantially lower and the flaring is subdued. The

decay from the second maximum is again associated with spectral softening. However beginning at MJD 50670 (1997 August 10), at a count rate of 12 c/s (or only $0.008 L_{Edd}$), the spectrum abruptly hardens again. The flux continues to decay, and on MJD 50681 (1997 August 21) the source falls below the ASM detection limit (3σ upper limits ~ 9 mCrab per 1-day bin). The ASM results provide a thorough context for the analysis and interpretation of the RXTE pointed observations reported below. Beyond the results shown in Figure 1, there have been no further ASM detections of GRO J1655-40 through the end of 1998 May (MJD 50965).

3. PCA Power Spectra of GRO J1655-40

The central theme of this paper is an X-ray timing analysis of a series of 52 observations with the RXTE Proportional Counter Array (PCA). The instrument is described by Jahoda et al. (1996). The PCA consists of five xenon-filled detectors with a total collecting area $\sim 6200 \text{ cm}^2$ at 5 keV, sensitivity in the range of 2–60 keV, and $\sim 17\%$ energy resolution at 5 keV. Depending on the observing modes chosen for a particular observation, the time resolution may be as fine as $1 \mu\text{s}$.

The PCA observation times are listed in Table 1; they are distributed throughout the 1996–1997 outburst, as shown in Figure 1. Table 1 also provides exposure times, the number of detector units (PCUs) that were collecting data, and the PCA observing modes. The data in this study include all of the observations in our AO-1 guest observer program (P10255), and also the observations for the AO-2 public archive (P20402), except for those data obtained after MJD 50685 (1997 August 25) when the source intensity was below 1 mCrab. With one exception, we define an observation as the collection of PCA data obtained on any one particular UT day. On 1996 November 2, GRO J1655-40 changed its intensity and QPO properties significantly between intervals of good exposure time, and we

therefore divided these data into two observations labeled “A” and “B”, respectively (see Table 1).

In Table 2 we provide a variety of measurements that describe the X-ray emission properties of GRO J1655-40. These include the PCA count rates for three energy bands (cols. 3, 7, and 8), the standard deviation of the full (effectively 2–25 keV) PCA count rate in 1 s bins (col. 4), two types of PCA hardness ratio (cols. 5, 6), and QPO frequencies and comments relevant to the observations (col. 9). These results will be used below to help evaluate the conditions under which the different types of QPOs appear.

3.1. Power Spectra for Individual Observations

In Figures 2–4 we show the power spectra for each of the 52 PCA observations of GRO J1655-40 listed in Table 1. The corrections for instrument dead time and electrical recovery from very large events adopts the model for “paralyzable” effects described by Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner (1997). In fact, the instrument operates with a combination of paralyzable and non-paralyzable interruptions (Zhang et al. 1995), and our corrections leave behind residual broadband power at the level of 0.1% Hz^{-1} of the net count rate. Since our power spectra are normalized to represent the square of the fractional rms amplitude per Hz, any broad continuum at high frequencies in Figures 2–4 with a power density $\lesssim 10^{-6} \text{ Hz}^{-1}$ is likely to represent residual instrumental effects rather than a real signature of source behavior.

All of the power spectra in Figures 2–4 show a broadband continuum that typically decreases with frequency in a manner roughly described as a power law with an index of 1.2 (± 0.3). Frequently there is very broad curvature in this continuum in the log power vs. log frequency plane, extending from 0.1–10 Hz with an excess centered near 1 Hz. During

many observations, we also see QPOs in the range of 7–28 Hz. The profiles of these QPOs are complex. In several cases (e.g. 07/25/96, 08/16/96, 08/22/96, 09/04/96, 09/09/96, 10/27/96), the profiles suggest separate broad and narrow QPOs, with the latter found at higher frequency. Furthermore, there are two occasions (8/01/96 and 11/02/96B) in which there is an additional low-frequency QPO near 0.1 Hz.

During the final decay of GRO J1655-40 in 1997 August (after MJD 50663), the power continuum abruptly increases and flattens, and there are renewed QPOs with relatively narrow profiles. These changes in the power spectra coincide with the episode of spectral hardening seen in the ASM (see Fig. 1). Further spectral analysis of this low-hard state is deferred to a later publication.

To derive the central frequencies and widths of each QPO, we fit the power spectra to a local continuum, using a power law function, plus a QPO assumed to have a Lorentzian profile. We used a least-squares technique to determine the best fit. In the range of 7–28 Hz, we allowed for the presence of two overlapping QPOs with different widths. The locations of the continuum fitting regions had to be adjusted for the particular QPO locations and broadband power characteristics of each observation. The central QPO frequencies derived from these fits are included in Table 2, while all of the QPO parameters for individual detections in the range of 0.1–28 Hz are given in Table 3. These parameters include the central frequency (ν), the FWHM value (in Hz), the coherence parameter ($Q = \nu/\text{FWHM}$), and the QPO amplitude, which is the integrated power normalized to the mean count rate of GRO J1655-40 in the appropriate energy band.

The data summarized in Table 2 show that the intensity and spectrum of the source can be used to predict the presence of QPOs at 7–28 Hz. Excluding the low/hard state at the end of the 1996–97 outburst, the approximate thresholds for QPO activity are: a 2–25 keV count rate of $4000 \text{ c s}^{-1} \text{ pcu}^{-1}$, a 9–25 keV count rate of $200 \text{ c s}^{-1} \text{ pcu}^{-1}$, a value of

0.45 in *PCA HR1* (counts at 5-9 keV / counts at 2-5 keV), or a value of 0.14 in *PCA HR2* (counts at 9-13 keV / counts at 5-9 keV). These thresholds are all related to the appearance of substantial flux above 9 keV, which suggests that the QPO mechanism is linked to the hard power-law component and thus to the source of relativistic electrons. In the case of GRS1915+105, the increase in QPO amplitudes with photon energy supported a similar conclusion (Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner 1997). Further considerations regarding the QPO mechanism are given in Section 5.

3.2. Detection of a QPO at 300 Hz

The relationship between QPO activity and the hard X-ray spectrum motivated us to combine the individual power spectra in groups determined by their spectral hardness, in order to search for more subtle features, especially at higher frequency. Using the *PCA HR1* values given in Table 2, we sorted and combined the individual power spectra (excluding observations 50–52) into four groups: 1996 and $HR1 < 0.45$, 1997 and $HR1 < 0.45$, $0.45 < HR1 < 0.50$, and $HR1 > 0.50$. We label these groups, respectively, “soft 1996”, “soft 1997”, “hard”, and “very hard”. For each group the individual power spectra (2–25 keV) were averaged after weighting them by their respective exposure times. The results are shown in Figure 5, where four important details can be noted. (1) The 27 power spectra in the group “soft 1997” do provide a narrow QPO just below 30 Hz. (2) There is a suggestion that the QPOs move to lower frequency as the spectrum becomes harder. (3) The QPO near 0.1 Hz is apparent in the “very hard” group. (4) Finally, and most importantly, there is a broad bump near 300 Hz for the “very hard” group.

In Figure 6 we replot Figure 5 with a magnified scale to provide closer inspection of those QPO features above 10 Hz. We fit the profiles for two of the QPOs, as described above. The QPO for the “soft 1997” group is located at 28.3 Hz with a FWHM of 3.6 Hz

and an integrated amplitude of 0.31% over the effective PCA bandwidth (2–25 keV). In the remainder of Section 3.2 we focus solely on the broad feature near 300 Hz.

The QPO fit at 300 Hz for the “very hard” group (Figure 6) yields a central frequency of 298.3 Hz, a FWHM of 77 Hz, and an integrated amplitude of 0.45%. The significance of this QPO detection above the local power continuum is 6.2σ in the highest bin and 12σ as an integrated feature .

The origin of the 300 Hz feature within the “very hard” group is investigated in Figure 7. Here the A and B observations of 1996 Nov 2 have been combined. The fit for the QPO profile and the local power continuum is shown with a solid line. A weak power excess near 300 Hz is seen in most of the individual power spectra, especially in the five power spectra for 1996 August. We conclude that the 300 Hz feature is statistically diluted among the individual power spectra, as would be expected for observations of a very weak yet stationary process.

If we select only the 1996 August observations within the “very hard” group (i.e. the top 5 panels of Fig. 7) and refit the continuum and QPO profile, then the detection significance of the integrated 300 Hz feature is again 12σ , and the other results are changed only slightly: the central frequency is 297.5 Hz, the FWHM is 68 Hz, and the integrated amplitude is 0.48%.

The upper limits (3σ) for a QPO in the range of 250–350 Hz (with FWHM of 68 Hz) are 0.21% of the mean flux for the “hard” group, 0.16% for the “soft 1997” group, and 0.32% for the “soft 1996” group. We therefore conclude that the 298 Hz QPO in GRO J1655-40 is significantly weaker or absent when the luminosity in the power-law component falls below that of the “very hard” group (i.e. when $HR1 < 0.5$).

Finally, the effort to ascertain whether the frequency of this QPO is constant is limited

to the weak indications provided in Figure 7. We searched for a QPO with a central frequency in the range of 180–420 Hz for each individual panel shown in Figure 7; the power spectra in the top six panels yield a sample of central frequencies that are distributed as 300.2 ± 13.4 Hz (see Table 2). The variations in frequency are consistent with scatter due to statistical limitations. Meanwhile, the count rates in the hard PCA band (i.e. above 9 keV) for these same six observations vary by a factor of 2.5 (max / min). Since the flux in hard photons is highly correlated with the appearance of this QPO, and since the hard flux variations are much larger than the dispersion in central frequency, we regard these results as an indication of frequency stability in the 300 Hz feature.

3.3. QPO Properties versus Photon Energy

Our detection of the 300 Hz QPO in GRO J1655-40 is limited to just one energy channel (2–25 keV) for the following reason. The operating modes for the PCA event analyzers (EAs) are given in Table 1. During the “very hard” observations (1996 August - November), the four discretionary PCA EAs were operated in parallel in the following modes: (1) a 4-channel binned mode with 2 ms time resolution at 2–13 keV; (2) a single-channel, “single bit” mode with $62 \mu\text{s}$ time resolution at 2–13 keV; and (3) a $16 \mu\text{s}$ event mode for photons above 13 keV. The Nyquist frequency for 298 Hz oscillations corresponds to 1.7 ms, which is faster than the rate used in the 4-channel binned mode. We therefore have only two channels, divided at 13 keV, with which to examine phenomena at frequencies above 250 Hz. During the “very hard” observations, the 13–25 keV source count rate was only 10–18 % of the rate below 13 keV, and we do not detect a significant QPO in these data. The PCA time resolution was increased to 4-channel coverage with $125 \mu\text{s}$ time resolution for the 1997 observations, but there were no further episodes of “very hard” emission during this period.

For the low frequency QPOs, however, we can easily measure the QPO properties for “hard” and “very hard” observations in five different energy bands, with four bands telemetered in binned mode and the highest energy band obtained from event mode. We show two energy-resolved series of power spectra in Figure 8. The left panels show results for an observation in the “very hard” group (08/16/96), while the right panels show results for an observation in the “hard” group (09/09/96). Both cases show a broad QPO near 8–10 Hz combined with a narrower QPO at higher frequency. The central frequencies for the 2-QPO fits (2–25 keV) for each observation are shown with arrows in the top and bottom panels. The energy-resolved power spectra in Figure 8 show that the broad and narrow QPOs have very different energy dependence: in both observations, the broad QPO is stronger at lower photon energies, while the narrow QPO shows an increase in amplitude at higher photon energies.

Further insight into the broad-soft and narrow-hard QPOs are gained by plotting the central frequencies for the 2-QPO fits versus the PCA count rate in the hard band (9–25 keV). The results are shown in Figure 9. The plotting symbols distinguish broad and narrow QPOs using the coherence parameter, Q , as defined previously. The “*” symbol indicates broad QPOs with $Q < 3.0$, while the open triangles denote narrow QPOs with $Q > 3.0$. The data point marked “x” represents the narrow and weak QPO for the entire “soft 1997” group, as shown in Figure 6. This 28 Hz QPO appears to be a simple extrapolation of the narrow QPO branch shown in Figure 9. The frequency of narrow QPOs is correlated with the intensity in hard X-rays, shifting from 28 to 14 Hz as the hard intensity increases from zero to maximum. This is the only QPO that is visible as the count rate at 9–25 keV approaches zero. On the other hand, the broad QPOs near 9 Hz in Figure 9 remain essentially stationary, and this QPO is not observed when the soft component dominates the spectrum. There are some exceptions to the pattern of QPO branches in Figure 9. For example, we do not detect two distinct QPOs for every observation in the hard groups,

and two of the QPO detections near 9 Hz appear to be relatively narrow in FWHM. Nevertheless, the weight of the evidence suggests that there are two quite different and yet coexisting types of QPOs in GRO J1655-40 in the range of 7–28 Hz.

3.4. QPOs at 0.1 Hz and a Connection to GRS 1915+105

The power spectra of 08/01/96 (Fig. 2) and 11/02/96B (Fig. 3) each display a low-frequency QPO near 0.1 Hz. These same two observations are at the extreme end of the “very hard” group, yielding the highest values for the X-ray intensity at 9–25 keV, as shown in Table 2 and Figure 9. The 0.1 Hz QPOs lie atop substantial broadband power, and their integrated rms power values (2.9 and 4.4% of the mean count rate, respectively) rank them among the QPOs in GRO J1655-40 with the highest amplitudes (see Table 3).

The appearance of low frequency QPOs during conditions of high X-ray luminosity in GRO J1655-40 is reminiscent of the behavior of the microquasar GRS1915+105. The latter source is prone to episodes of tremendous X-ray variability (Greiner, Morgan, & Remillard 1996), but there are also occasions when the X-ray intensity of GRS1915+105 is relatively low and steady (with 1-10 Hz QPOs), and there are other occasions characterized by intermediate brightness, moderate flickering and QPOs near 0.1 Hz (Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner 1997). In particular, the power spectra of GRS 1915+105 on 1996 April 17–May 14, 1996 September 22, 1997 August 13, and 1997 November 7–30 show QPOs near 0.1 Hz. The corresponding light curves show substantial flickering but there are no large cyclical variations and the rms deviation (1 s bins) is less than 30% of the mean value, which is also true of our light curves of GRO J1655-40 (excluding absorption dips).

There is an especially striking resemblance between the PCA observation of GRO J1655-40 on 1996 August 1 and the observation of GRS 1915+105 on 1997 August 13. In

each case, the source appears to shift back and forth between a relatively steady emission state and another state in which both the intensity and the hardness ratio are strongly modulated by a 0.1 Hz QPO. This behavior is illustrated for both sources in Figure 10. Both the X-ray intensity and variability of GRO J1655-40 are as large as we have seen with RXTE. However, GRS1915+105 has shown a wide variety of much deeper oscillations on time scales ranging from seconds to ~ 30 min. Given the similarities shown here and the extraordinary behavior observed for GRS 1915+105 (Greiner, Morgan, & Remillard 1996), one may surmise that GRO J1655-40 is on the verge of plunging into unstable X-ray emission on 1996 August 1. Figure 10 displays the closest link we have seen between GRO J1655-40 during its radio-quiet X-ray outburst of 1996-1997, and GRS 1915+105, which has been wildly active at both X-ray and radio frequencies (Eikenberry et al. 1998; Mirabel et al. 1998; Pooley & Fender 1998). In Section 5 we use these intermittent 0.1 Hz QPOs, combined with spectral analyses and our knowledge of the black hole mass in GRO J1655-40, to speculate on the mass of the black hole in GRS1915+105.

4. X-ray Spectral Analysis by QPO Group

Thus far we have described several relationships between QPO characteristics and the X-ray spectrum by correlating the QPO parameters with PCA count rates and hardness ratios. In these interpretations we have assumed that the X-ray spectrum of GRO J1655-40 can be described by a standard model consisting of a thermal component and a hard power law, with a minimal contribution from the thermal component above 9 keV. Zhang et al (1997) used ASCA and BATSE observations of GRO J1655-40 during 1995 August 15-16 to show that this spectral model produced an acceptable fit with an inner disk temperature of 1.36 keV. A spectral analysis of all of the data discussed herein is beyond the scope of this paper. Nevertheless, we have investigated the mean spectra for each QPO-sorted group (see

Section 3.2) and the results confirm our hypothesis that QPO activity in GRO J1655-40 is strongly linked to the strength of the power-law component.

We used “xspec” to analyze these data, assuming a “disk blackbody” model plus a power-law function, both attenuated by absorption due to cold gas along the line of sight. The analysis was performed with version 2.2.1 of the PCA spectral response matrices (written on 1997 Oct 2). Since the high count rates and long exposure times for GRO J1655-40 stringently test the quality of the PCA spectral calibrations, we first investigated the spectra derived from long exposures of the Crab nebula on 1997 March 22, April 15, and July 26. We have concluded that the best performance from these particular response matrices is gained by choosing PCUs #0, #1, and #4 (only), by restricting the analysis range to 2.5–25.0 keV (with 54 data channels from PCA standard mode 2), and by imposing a systematic error of 1.0% on each data point. The statistical error bars for the spectral parameters in each group are very small, and so we conservatively choose to independently analyze the spectra provided by each of the three PCUs, and then we use the sample standard deviation as the uncertainty in each spectral parameter. The absolute normalizations of PCU #4 are chronically 10% lower than those of PCUs #0 and #1, and we accordingly raised the normalizations for PCU #4 before reporting the results. Finally, all of the spectral results (unlike the counting rates reported in Table 2) include corrections for the PCA deadtime, which ranges from 1.5% to 12.8% per observation, depending on the source count rate.

We conducted spectral fits in which we considered the value of the interstellar column density (N_H) as a free parameter, and then we repeated the analysis with $N_H = 0.9 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$, which is the value found with ASCA (Zhang et al. 1997). The results for both cases are shown in Table 4. Although the fitted versus fixed values of N_H are statistically different in most cases, this difference has only a minor effect on the other spectral parameters or

the integrated flux.

The reduced χ^2 values (Table 4, col. 4) for these spectral fits are reasonably good for the “hard” and “very hard” groups, with total exposure times ~ 40 ks per PCU for each group. On the other hand, the “soft 1996” group resisted all efforts to apply the disk blackbody plus power law model, including attempted modifications for emission lines, absorption edges, or Compton reflection. Separating the “soft 1996” group into subsections A (1996 May 9–12) and B (Sept. 26 and Oct. 3) isolates the temporal location of this unsolved problem. During the 1996 May observations, which occurred 14–17 days after the beginning of the X-ray outburst, we find $\chi^2_\nu \sim 20$, as the soft component appears to be too wide to fit with any combination of disk “color temperature” (T_{col}) and N_H . No such difficulty is evident for the “soft 1996B” group ($\chi^2_\nu = 1.04$). Problems with the spectral model are encountered again for the “soft 1997” group. However, the residuals are only $\sim 2\%$ of the net flux in the accumulated spectra (102 ks per PCU), and we argue that the comparison of spectral parameters with the other groups provides useful information, despite the elevated value of χ^2_ν . As the overall luminosity increases, it is clear that the temperature of the inner accretion disk increases from ~ 1.1 to nearly 1.5 keV, while the normalization decreases by a factor of 5. This is the same pattern seen on a much more rapid time scale in the case of GRS1915+105 (Belloni et al. 1997b). Further evaluations of these results are given in Section 5.

Table 4 confirms that the flux in the power-law component rises sharply as the spectrum evolves from the “soft” group to the “hard” group, and on to the “very hard” group, as we had deduced from the PCA hardness ratios. In the “hard” group, the power-law component represents $\sim 30\%$ of the total X-ray flux, and this fraction increases to $\sim 70\%$ in the “very hard” group. In both the “hard” and “very hard” groups, the photon index is in the range of 2.4–2.7, which is very similar to the results obtained in earlier outbursts for the range of

20–600 keV with CGRO (Kroeger et al. 1996; Zhang et al. 1997). Our 1996 August 29 PCA observation was used with RXTE HEXTE and CGRO OSSE observations to measure a photon index of 2.7 with a lower limit of 800 keV for the cutoff energy associated with the power law component (Tomsick et al. 1998). Our similar value of the photon index for the “very hard” group instills some confidence that the power law component is well determined here, despite the constraint which limits our spectral fits to photon energies below 25 keV.

Our spectral results allow us to quantify the thresholds for QPO activity in terms of L_{Edd} , which is $9 \times 10^{38} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ for a $7 M_{\odot}$ black hole. The threshold where the broad 9 Hz QPO appears is $L_X \sim 0.1 L_{Edd}$. We detect 300 Hz QPOs at $L_X \gtrsim 0.15 L_{Edd}$, and 0.1 Hz QPOs appear at a slightly higher value, $L_X \sim 0.18 L_{Edd}$. For these values to have utility, the power-law component must radiate isotropically from a region that is roughly as compact as the inner accretion disk.

5. Discussion

The 300 Hz QPO in GRO J1655-40 is the fastest oscillation ever seen in a black hole binary. Efforts to explain this feature, and also the 67 Hz QPO in GRS1915+105, commonly invoke effects of General Relativity (GR), and these results provide an important opportunity to investigate both the properties of these black holes and also the physics of strong gravitational fields (see McClintock 1998). There are at least four proposed mechanisms that relate these fast QPO frequencies to a natural time scale of the inner accretion disk in a black hole binary. These are: the last stable orbit (Shapiro & Teukolsky 1983; Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner 1997), diskoseismic oscillations (Perez et al. 1997; Nowak et al. 1997), frame dragging (Cui, Zhang, & Chen 1998), and oscillations related to a centrifugal-barrier model (Titarchuk, Lapidus, & Muslimov 1998). The physics of all of these phenomena invokes GR effects in the inner accretion disk. It has also been proposed

that the high frequency QPOs may be caused by an inertial-acoustic instability in the disk (Chen & Taam 1995), which has a non-GR origin. However, this model as proposed does not extend to frequencies as high as the 300 Hz QPO of GRO J1655-40.

Any model for the high-frequency QPOs in microquasars must also explain the observed amplitudes and spectral characteristics of these QPOs and the manner in which these properties vary with the state of the X-ray source. In the case of GRO J1655-40, the 300 Hz QPO is detected only in the “very hard” group, when the X-ray luminosity is near $0.15L_{Edd}$ and the power-law component dominates the spectrum. The upper limits for 300 Hz QPOs in the other groups imply that this QPO is at least a factor of 2-3 weaker (in % amplitude) in fainter states. While the power law component is the primary cause of variations in X-ray luminosity, there is also an evolution in the thermal component as the luminosity increases. We cannot exclude the possibility that changes in the inner disk are actually responsible for the 300 Hz QPO, and that the larger changes in the power law component are an unrelated byproduct of the accretion state. In that case, the QPO would have an amplitude $\gtrsim 1.5\%$ of the disk flux, since the deconvolution of the spectral components in terms of PCA counting rate indicates that 33% of the counts in the “very hard” state come from the disk while 67% originate in the power law component. The spectral constraints on the origin of the 67 Hz QPO in GRS1915+105 are far more challenging for disk oscillation models, since the QPO amplitude has been shown to be as high as 6% at photon energies above 13 keV, where the disk contributes a small fraction of the X-ray flux (Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner 1997). The multiplicity of models and the incomplete connections between inner disk timescales and the spectral properties of the high-frequency QPOs remain as outstanding problems in this field.

Zhang, Cui, & Chen (1997) have outlined GR corrections to the disk blackbody model that allow estimation of the real temperature and radius of the inner accretion disk from

the measured values of T_{col} and the disk blackbody normalization. Assuming a distance of 3.2 kpc, our spectral results for the “very hard” group (Table 4) imply an unabsorbed flux of $3.4 \times 10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for the disk component. If we substitute this value along with a color temperature of 1.49 keV into equation 3 of Zhang, Cui, & Chen (1997), then the inner disk radius (r_{in}) associated with the “very hard” group is 19 km. This value is basically in agreement with their results (22 km), which were derived from BATSE / ASCA observations during 1995 August (Zhang, Cui, & Chen 1997). As pointed out by Zhang, Cui, & Chen (1997), this small inner disk can only be larger than the last stable orbit (r_{last}) for a $7 M_{\odot}$ black hole if the black hole rotation is prograde and $\gtrsim 90\%$ of the maximum value. The RXTE spectral results for the “very hard” group are consistent with this view, although some of the individual “very hard” observations imply inner disk radii as small as 10 km (see below and also Tomsick et al. 1998), implying a maximally rotating black hole.

On the other hand, the large *increase* in the normalization of the disk component for the “soft” X-ray groups (Table 4) necessarily suggests $r_{in} > r_{last}$ for the same values of black hole mass and spin. These significant variations in $f_{DBB}T_{col}^{-4}$ for GRO J1655-40 are distinctly different from typical X-ray novae (Tanaka & Lewin 1995), and yet they resemble the pattern of changes seen at much more rapid time scales (i.e. tens of s) in the case of GRS1915+105 (Belloni et al. 1997b). If we consider these results literally, then we must ask why the disk should terminate well outside the last stable orbit when the luminosity of GRO J1655-40 ($\sim 0.05L_{Edd}$) is dominated by the thermal component in a radio quiet state. At present there is no straightforward explanation; further work is being done to investigate the spectral evolution of GRO J1655-40 at shorter time scales (Sobczak et al. 1998). These results demonstrate the need to monitor the spectral evolution of black hole binaries in order to scrutinize the inner disk region. The disk blackbody model with GR corrections may or may not provide a quantitative and reliable estimate of the inner disk radius. Nevertheless, it does appear that the soft X-ray components in the microquasars

GRO J1655-40 and GRS1915+105 vary much more in temperature and normalization than do the soft components in typical X-ray novae.

While our analysis of QPO properties in GRO J1655-40 motivated a segregation of RXTE observations into groups defined by PCA hardness ratio, there is, in fact, a good correlation between these groups and the canonical states of black hole binaries (van der Klis 1994; van der Klis 1995). The “soft” groups correspond with the “high state” seen at 1-20 keV, while “hard” and “very hard” groups display the QPOs and the strong power law component (with index ~ 2.5) that define the “very high state”. Previous observations of the very high state have been limited to transient conditions in GX339-4 and GS 1124-68 (X-ray Nova Muscae 1991). There is also a suggestion that the chronic QPOs and high luminosity in GRS1915+105 constitute some kind of variations of the very high state (Morgan, Remillard, & Greiner 1997). The QPO characteristics of GRO J1655-40 appear to bridge the phenomenology of GS 1124-68 and GRS1915+105, and these RXTE results provide a large increase in the historical archive for the canonical form of the very high state.

Following up on the similarity of particular RXTE observations of GRO J1655-40 and GRS1915+105 presented in Section 3.4 and Figure 10, we modeled the average spectrum for each observation as described in Section 4. We then computed the luminosity, assuming distances of 3.2 and 12.5 kpc, respectively. For GRO J1655-40, we find $T_{col} = 1.71$ keV, the disk blackbody normalization is 172, the photon index is 2.50, the unabsorbed total luminosity is 2.0×10^{38} erg s $^{-1}$ at 1–25 keV, or $L_X \sim 0.22L_{Edd}$, and the corrected value for the inner disk radius is $r_{in} \sim 10$ km. In the case of GRS1915+105, we find $T_{col} = 1.70$ keV, the disk blackbody normalization is 338, the photon index is 3.17, the unabsorbed $L_X \sim 2.9 \times 10^{39}$ erg s $^{-1}$ at 1–25 keV, and $r_{in} \sim 55$ km (assuming maximum rotation and a disk inclination of 70°). We may speculate that the conditions in these two microquasars

are similar with respect to either the inner disk, with $r_{in} = r_{last}$ for maximally rotating black holes, or with respect to the value of L_{Edd} . In such cases, GRS1915+105 contains the more massive black hole, with M_1 in the range of 38–70 $M_\odot$.

We have shown that the full composition of QPOs in GRO J1655-40 includes another quasi-stable frequency in the form of a broad QPO (1–3% amplitude) at 9 Hz. There is no obvious scheme in which to assign the system’s natural time scales to both the 9 and 300 Hz oscillations for the same values of black hole mass and spin rate. Moreover, the presence of the 9 Hz QPO complicates the application of the frame-dragging model to QPOs in black hole binaries (Cui, Zhang, & Chen 1998). Here, an 8 Hz QPO in GS 1124-68 was interpreted as being analogous to the 300 Hz QPO in GRO J1655-40. However, in this paper we have shown that there are two low-frequency QPOs in GRO J1655-40 that are much closer in frequency to the 8 Hz QPO in GS 1124-68, confusing the selection of QPOs that might represent the frame dragging effect. Moreover, of the four QPO tracks in GRO J1655-40, only the 9 Hz QPO appears to have the “soft” X-ray spectrum expected for a purely disk-rooted oscillation. Clearly there is a need for additional opportunities to observe X-ray sources in the very high state, as we continue to make progress in learning how to use X-ray QPOs to investigate the properties of black holes and also to determine the origin of the hard X-ray photons in black hole binaries.

This work was supported, in part, by NASA grant NAG5-3680. Partial support for J.E.M. was provided by the Smithsonian Institution Scholarly Studies Program. C.B. acknowledges support from an NSF National Young Investigator award.

REFERENCES

- Bailyn, C. D., Orosz, J. A., McClintock, J. E., & Remillard, R. A. 1995, *Nature*, 378, 157
- Belloni, T., Mendez, M., King, A. R., van der Klis, M., & van Paradijs, J. 1997, *ApJ*, 479, L145
- Belloni, T., Mendez, M., King, A. R., van der Klis, M., & van Paradijs, J. 1997, *ApJ*, 488, L109
- Bianchini, A., Della Valle, M., Masetti, N., Margoni, R. 1997 *A&A*, 321, 477
- Chen, X. & Taam, R. E. 1995, *ApJ*, 441 354
- Chen, W., Livio, M., & Gehrels, N. 1993, *ApJ*, 408, L5
- Chen, W., Shrader, C. R., and Livio, M. 1997, *ApJ*, 491, 312
- Cui, W., Zhang, S. N., & Chen, W. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, 53
- Eikenberry, S. S, Matthews, K., Morgan, E. H., Remillard, R. A., & Nelson, R. W. 1998, *ApJ*, 494, L61
- Greiner, J., Morgan, E. H., & Remillard, R. A. 1996, *ApJ*, 473, L107
- Greiner, J., Predehl, P., & Pohl, M. 1995, *A&A*, 297, L67
- Grove, J. E., Johnson, W. N., Kroeger, R. A., McNaron-Brown, K., Skibo, J. G., & Philips, B. F. 1998, *ApJ*, 499, in press
- Hameury, J. M., Lasota, J.-P., McClintock, J. E., and Narayan, R. 1997, *ApJ*, 489, 234
- Harmon, B. A. et al. 1995, *Nature*, 374, 703

- Harmon, B. A., Deak, K. J., Paciesas, W. S., Zhang, S. N., Robinson, C. R., Gerard, E., Rodriguez, L. F., & Mirabel, I. F. 1997, *ApJ*, 477 L85
- Hjellming R.M. & Rupen, M. P. 1995, *Nature*, 375, 464
- Jahoda, K., Swank, J. H., Giles, A. B., Stark, M. J., Strohmayer, T., Zhang, W., & Morgan, E. H. 1996, *Proc. SPIE* 2808, “EUV and Gamma Ray Instrumentation for Astronomy” VII, 59
- Kolb, U., King, A. R., Ritter, H., Frank, J. 1997, *ApJ*, 485, 33
- Kroeger, R. A., Strickman, M. S., Grove, J. E., Kaaret, P., Ford, E., Harmon, B., & McConnell, M. 1996, *aas*, 120, 117
- Kuulkers, E., Wijnands, R., Belloni, T., Mendez, M., van der Klis, M., & van Paradijs, J. 1998, preprint; astro-ph/9710024
- Levine, A. M., et al. 1996, *ApJ*, 469, L33
- McClintock, J. E. 1998, in “Accretion Processes in Astrophysical Systems”, *Procs. of the 8th Astrophysics Conf. at U. Md. (Oct 1997)*, eds. S.S. Holt & T. Kallman (New York: AIP), in press
- McKay, D. & Kesteven, M 1994, *IAU Circ.* 6062
- Mioduszewski, A. J., Hjellming, R., M., Rupen, M. P., McCollough, M., Waltman, E. B., & Poole, G. G. 1997, *BAAS*, 29, 1387
- Mirabel, I. F., Dhawan, V., Chaty, S., Rodriguez, L. F., Marti, J., Robinson, C. R., Swank, J., & Geballe, T. 1998, *A&A*, in press; astro-ph/9711097
- Mirabel, I. F., & Rodriguez, L. F. 1994, *Nature*, 371, 46

- Morgan, E. H., Remillard, R. A. & Greiner, J. 1997, ApJ, 482, 993 (MRG)
- Newell, S. J., Garrett M. A., & Spencer R. E 1998, MNRAS, 293, L17
- Nowak, M. A., Wagoner, R. V., Begelman, M. C., & Lehr, D. E. 1997, ApJ, 477, L91
- Orosz, J. A., & Bailyn, C. D. 1997, ApJ, 477, 876
- Orosz, J. A., Remillard, R. A., Bailyn, C. D., & McClintock, J. E. 1997, ApJ, 478, L83
- Perez, C. A., Silbergleit, A. S., Wagoner, R. V., & Lehr, D. E. 1997, ApJ, 476 589
- Pooley, G. & Fender, R. 1998, MNRAS, in press; astro-ph/9708171
- Remillard, R. A., Bradt, H., Cui, W., Levine, A. M., Morgan, E. H., Shirey, B., & Smith, D. 1996, IAU Circ. 6393
- Remillard, R. A., Morgan, E. H., McClintock, J. E., Bailyn, C. D., & Orosz, J. A. 1997, Procs. Texas Symposium on Relativistic Astrophysics (Chicago, 1996 December), eds. A. Olinto, J. Frieman, & D. Schramm (World Scientific Press), in press; astro-ph/9705064
- Remillard, R. A. & Morgan, E. H. 1998, Procs. of the conference, “The Active X-ray Sky: Results from BeppoSax and Rossi-XTE”, (Rome, October 1997), eds. L. Scarsi, H. Bradt, P. Giommi, & F. Fiore (Nuclear Physics B Pro. Suppl.), in press; astro-ph/9805237
- Schalinski C. J., et al. 1998, A&A, 329, 504
- Shapiro, S. L. & Teukolsky, S. A. 1983 *Black Holes, White Dwarfs, and Neutron Stars*, (New York: Wiley)
- Tavani, M., Fruchter, A., Zhang, S. N., Harmon, B., Hjellming R. M., Rupen, M. P., Bailyn, C., & Livio, M. 1996, ApJ, 473, L103

- Smith, D. M., Heindl, W. A., Swank, J., Leventhal, M., Mirabel, I. F., & Rodriguez, L. F. 1997, *ApJ*, 489, L51
- Sobczak, G., McClintock, J. E., Remillard, R. A., Bailyn, C. D., & Orosz, J. A. 1998, in preparation.
- Tanaka, Y. & Lewin, W. 1995, in *X-ray Binaries*, eds. W. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E. van den Heuvel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) 126
- Tingay S. J. et al. 1995, *Nature*, 374, 141
- Titarchuk, L., Lapidus, I., & Muslimov, A. 1998, *ApJ*, submitted; astro-ph/9712348
- Tomsick et al. 1998, in preparation.
- Ueda, Y., Inoue, H., Tanaka, Y., Ebisawa, K., Nagase, F., Kotani, T., & Gehrels, N. 1998, *ApJ*, 492, 782
- van der Hooft F., Heemskerk, M. H. M., Alberts, F., van Paradijs J. 1998, *A&A*, 329, 538
- van der Klis, M. 1994, *ApJS*, 92, 511
- van der Klis, M. 1995, in *X-ray Binaries*, eds. W. Lewin, J. van Paradijs, & E. van den Heuvel (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge) 252
- Zhang, S., Cui, W., & Chen, 1997, *ApJ*, 482, L155
- Zhang, S. N., Ebisawa, K., Sunyaev, R., Ueda, Y., Harmon, B. A., Sazonov, S., Fishman, G. J., Inoue, H., Paciesas, W. S., & Takahashi, T. 1997, *ApJ*, 479, 381
- Zhang, W., Jahoda, K., Swank, J. H., Morgan, E. H., & Giles, A. B. 1995, *ApJ*, 449, 930

Figure Legends

Fig. 1.— RXTE ASM light curves and hardness ratio covering the 1996-1997 X-ray outburst of GRO J1655-40. For reference, the count rate for the Crab Nebula is 75.5 ASM c/s. The ASM HR2 value is the ratio of source counts at 5–12 keV relative to the counts at 3–5 keV. The tick marks in the upper portion of the top panel indicate the times of RXTE pointed observations considered in this paper.

Fig. 2.— PCA power spectra of GRO J1655-40 for the first 20 observations listed in Tables 1 and 2. The Poisson noise level, corrected for instrument dead time, has been subtracted.

Fig. 3.— Same as Fig. 2, but for the subsequent 20 observations.

Fig. 4.— Same as Fig. 2, but for the last 12 RXTE observations.

Fig. 5.— Combined power spectra of GRO J1655-40 in which the first 49 PCA observations are combined into 4 groups organized by intervals of PCA HR1 and the year of observations (see text).

Fig. 6.— Magnified view of a portion of Fig. 5. A QPO appears at 300 Hz during the 8 observations with the hardest X-ray spectra.

Fig. 7.— The power spectra in the “very hard” group are shown individually (histograms) along with the fit to the local power continuum (solid line). In this figure, the A and B observations of 11/02/96 have been combined. The 300 Hz QPO appears weakly visible in the upper 5 or 6 panels, as would be expected from statistical effects when observing a stationary process.

Fig. 8.— Energy-resolved power spectra of two observations among those that appear to have both broad and narrow QPOs. The left panels show results for an observation (#9) in

the “very hard” group, while the right panels show results for an observation (#13) in the “hard” group. There appears to be a broad and relatively soft QPO near 8–10 Hz combined with a more narrow and hard QPO at higher frequency. The central frequencies for the 2-QPO fits for each observation are shown with arrows in the top and bottom panels.

Fig. 9.— QPO frequency vs. the PCA count rate in the hard band (9–25 keV). The results are determined from 2-QPO fits to the power spectra for the range of 6–35 Hz. The “*” symbol is used for broad QPOs, in which $Q < 3.0$, while the open triangles denote narrow QPOs with $Q > 3.0$ (see text). The data point plotted with “x” represents the weak QPO and the mean hard count rate from the entire “soft 1997” group (see Figure 6).

Fig. 10.— PCA observation of GRO J1655-40 on 1996 August 1 (top panels) showing the light curve (2–25 keV) and the values of the hardness ratio, PCA HR1. Very similar results were obtained during a PCA observation of GRS 1915+105 on 1997 August 13 (lower panels). In both cases, the X-ray emission teeters between a relatively steady emission state and another state with rapid oscillations at 0.1 Hz.

Table 1. Observations of GRO J1655-40 with RXTE

Obs.	MJD start	MJD end	UT Date	#PCUs	exposure	modes ^a
1	50212.670	50212.951	05/09/96	3.0	10122	a
2	50213.385	50213.618	05/10/96	3.0	11429	a
3	50214.318	50214.685	05/11/96	3.0	14039	b
4	50215.279	50215.618	05/12/96	3.0	14016	b
5	50289.355	50289.491	07/25/96	5.0	7430	b
6	50296.311	50296.506	08/01/96	4.0	8903	b
7	50301.664	50301.789	08/06/96	5.0	6335	b
8	50310.599	50310.678	08/15/96	4.0	3415	b
9	50311.390	50311.412	08/16/96	5.0	1895	b
10	50317.441	50317.548	08/22/96	5.0	6153	b
11	50324.379	50324.473	08/29/96	4.0	4927	b
12	50330.253	50330.353	09/04/96	5.0	6189	b
13	50335.912	50336.026	09/09/96	5.0	8164	b
14	50346.194	50346.299	09/20/96	5.0	6438	b
15	50352.197	50352.333	09/26/96	5.0	6599	b
16	50359.563	50359.741	10/03/96	4.6	5426	b
17	50371.421	50371.579	10/15/96	4.7	5385	b
18	50378.081	50378.213	10/22/96	5.0	6887	b
19	50383.564	50383.717	10/27/96	4.0	6265	b
20	50389.217	50389.257	11/02/96A	5.0	3457	b
21	50389.288	50389.324	11/02/96B	5.0	3015	b
22	50453.331	50453.428	01/05/97	5.0	6551	c
23	50460.002	50460.130	01/12/97	5.0	6536	c
24	50468.994	50469.101	01/20/97	5.0	6912	c
25	50474.822	50474.951	01/26/97	5.0	5885	c
26	50505.814	50505.979	02/26/97	5.0	9638	c
27	50512.758	50512.858	03/05/97	5.0	5884	c
28	50517.688	50517.790	03/10/97	4.0	5831	c

Table 1—Continued

Obs.	MJD start	MJD end	UT Date	#PCUs	exposure	modes ^a
29	50527.858	50527.998	03/20/97	5.0	6299	c
30	50531.703	50531.799	03/24/97	5.0	6001	c
31	50542.650	50542.715	04/04/97	4.0	3157	c
32	50548.520	50548.577	04/10/97	5.0	2841	c
33	50554.770	50554.917	04/16/97	5.0	8577	c
34	50562.797	50562.821	04/24/97	5.0	1536	c
35	50568.581	50568.657	04/30/97	5.0	3642	c
36	50576.462	50576.549	05/08/97	4.3	4092	c
37	50580.650	50580.738	05/12/97	5.0	5292	c
38	50588.353	50588.458	05/20/97	5.0	4594	c
39	50596.319	50596.424	05/28/97	4.0	6919	c
40	50604.268	50604.363	06/05/97	5.0	5647	c
41	50608.401	50608.572	06/09/97	5.0	5965	c
42	50618.339	50618.365	06/19/97	5.0	2187	c
43	50625.825	50625.879	06/26/97	5.0	2392	c
44	50633.482	50633.568	07/04/97	5.0	5129	c
45	50637.480	50637.649	07/08/97	5.0	8993	c
46	50643.211	50643.489	07/14/97	5.0	7204	c
47	50653.504	50653.638	07/24/97	5.0	6047	c
48	50658.351	50658.422	07/29/97	5.0	3918	c
49	50663.664	50663.672	08/03/97	3.0	630	c
50	50674.438	50674.473	08/14/97	5.0	2973	c
51	50678.566	50678.607	08/18/97	5.0	3511	c
52	50685.434	50685.475	08/25/97	4.0	3504	c

^aThe three PCA EA modes used for GRO J1655-40 are defined as follows: a (B_4MS_8A_0_49_H E_1US_4A_50_8S), b (B_2MS_4B_0_35_H E_16US_16B_36_1S SB_62US_0_35_500MS), and c (SB_125US_0_13_1S SB_125US_14_23_1S SB_125US_24_35_1S E_16US_16B_36_1S).

Table 2. PCA Count Rates and QPO Detections

Obs.	UT Date	PCA rate ^a	σ 1 s bins	PCA HR1 ^b	PCA HR2 ^c	Soft 2-5 keV	Hard 9-25 keV	QPOs and Comments
1	05/09/96	3556	156.8	0.417	0.075	2473	84	-
2	05/10/96	3724	130.8	0.413	0.075	2540	85	-
3	05/11/96	3649	133.5	0.416	0.075	2502	85	-
4	05/12/96	3671	157.2	0.422	0.076	2490	88	-
5	07/25/96	4721	289.6	0.468	0.155	2971	295	9.1,20.1
6	08/01/96	7139	973.7	0.680	0.284	3511	1006	0.09,8.7,14.5,281.1
7	08/06/96	6013	328.4	0.594	0.236	3307	657	11.1,16.0,313.5
8	08/15/96	4327	343.8	0.476	0.157	2719	280	9.4, 21.4
9	08/16/96	5165	252.4	0.524	0.224	3004	514	7.3,16.8,292.5
10	08/22/96	4937	305.5	0.501	0.198	2977	417	9.0,17.9,301.8
11	08/29/96	6820	246.1	0.639	0.265	3547	875	9.7,14.7,295.4
12	09/04/96	4727	337.5	0.471	0.167	2948	322	9.3,18.7
13	09/09/96	4734	313.8	0.483	0.174	2921	341	9.5,18.8
14	09/20/96	4119	245.0	0.452	0.147	2632	241	20.6
15	09/26/96	3854	293.9	0.432	0.106	2554	149	-
16	10/03/96	3806	281.6	0.447	0.121	2521	180	-
17	10/15/96	4595	383.3	0.481	0.142	2884	266	21.1
18	10/22/96	4766	412.9	0.469	0.147	3026	282	22.4
19	10/27/96	5775	411.2	0.531	0.197	3377	497	8.5,17.7,316.7
20	11/02/96A	4328	354.5	0.609	0.299	2257	632	10.3
21	11/02/96B	6350	457.0	0.658	0.294	3209	935	0.10,9.1
22	01/05/97	1754	183.3	0.352	0.127	1220	82	-; dips
23	01/12/97	1589	47.6	0.308	0.093	1160	48	-
24	01/20/97	890	21.6	0.228	0.040	708	8	-
25	01/26/97	1123	28.3	0.259	0.070	856	22	-
26	02/26/97	2263	239.3	0.333	0.049	1650	30	-; dips
27	03/05/97	2533	89.0	0.343	0.056	1831	40	-
28	03/10/97	2574	97.5	0.367	0.063	1836	50	-

Table 2—Continued

Obs.	UT Date	PCA rate ^a	σ 1 s bins	PCA HR1 ^b	PCA HR2 ^c	Soft 2-5 keV	Hard 9-25 keV	QPOs and Comments
29	03/20/97	2671	107.0	0.359	0.071	1863	60	-
30	03/24/97	2398	84.3	0.340	0.051	1736	33	-
31	04/04/97	2671	105.7	0.356	0.071	1891	59	-
32	04/10/97	2715	107.1	0.361	0.075	1915	65	-
33	04/16/97	2538	67.3	0.345	0.053	1833	36	-
34	04/24/97	2583	68.5	0.366	0.056	1860	41	-
35	04/30/97	2649	78.3	0.356	0.055	1898	40	-
36	05/08/97	2874	89.1	0.389	0.062	2022	53	-
37	05/12/97	2884	89.4	0.372	0.061	2022	49	-
38	05/20/97	3154	128.1	0.386	0.068	2205	65	-
39	05/28/97	3342	174.4	0.416	0.084	2217	94	-
40	06/05/97	3030	146.1	0.377	0.069	2128	63	-
41	06/09/97	2872	105.0	0.366	0.062	1996	51	-
42	06/19/97	2599	154.2	0.360	0.075	1823	63	-
43	06/26/97	2099	174.4	0.321	0.048	1584	27	-
44	07/04/97	1824	65.1	0.302	0.051	1356	25	-
45	07/08/97	1818	62.7	0.317	0.075	1324	43	-
46	07/14/97	1674	55.4	0.289	0.052	1256	23	-
47	07/24/97	1149	29.3	0.268	0.087	864	30	-
48	07/29/97	811	25.6	0.253	0.121	609	31	-
49	08/03/97	463	14.2	0.210	0.109	358	13	-
50	08/14/97	213	13.5	0.748	0.392	95	47	1.4,6.4
51	08/18/97	61	8.4	0.945	0.475	23	18	0.2,0.8
52	08/25/97	3.5	-	0.693	0.411	1.8	0.5	-

^aPCA source rate at full bandwidth (effectively 2–25 keV) in $\text{cts s}^{-1} \text{pcu}^{-1}$.

^bRatio of 5–9 keV and 2–5 keV source count rates.

^cRatio of 9–13 keV and 5–9 keV count rates.

Table 3. QPO fit parameters

Obs.	Date	ν	FWHM	Q	amplitude
5	07/25/96	9.1	5.4	1.7	0.0095
5	07/25/96	20.1	5.4	3.7	0.0110
6	08/01/96	0.086	0.058	1.5	0.0287
6	08/01/96	8.7	4.1	2.1	0.0189
6	08/01/96	14.5	4.5	3.2	0.0090
7	08/06/96	11.1	1.5	7.3	0.0054
7	08/06/96	16.0	10.5	1.5	0.0123
8	08/15/96	9.4	5.0	1.9	0.0084
8	08/15/96	21.4	4.7	4.6	0.0078
9	08/16/96	7.3	7.2	1.0	0.0332
9	08/16/96	16.8	2.5	6.7	0.0132
10	08/22/96	9.0	8.3	1.1	0.0225
10	08/22/96	17.9	3.5	5.1	0.0173
11	08/29/96	9.7	3.4	2.9	0.0081
11	08/29/96	14.7	3.1	4.7	0.0078
12	09/04/96	9.3	7.0	1.3	0.0146
12	09/04/96	18.7	4.5	4.2	0.0127
13	09/09/96	9.5	7.4	1.3	0.0154
13	09/09/96	18.8	4.7	4.0	0.0110
14	09/20/96	20.6	3.4	6.1	0.0076
17	10/15/96	21.1	2.2	9.6	0.0032
18	10/22/96	22.4	3.3	6.8	0.0058
19	10/27/96	8.5	7.0	1.2	0.0180
19	10/27/96	17.7	4.5	3.9	0.0151
20	11/02/96A	10.3	2.2	4.7	0.0454
21	11/02/96B	0.100	0.082	1.2	0.0442
21	11/02/96B	9.1	4.4	2.1	0.0279
22-48	soft 97	28.3	3.6	7.9	0.0031

Table 4. RXTE Spectral Parameters for GRO J1655-40

Group	#	time	χ^2_ν	N_H	Disk T_{col}	Disk	P.L.	P.L.	f_{DBB}	f_{PL}	L_x
	Obs.	(ks)			keV	Norm.	index	Norm.			
Soft 96a	4	49.6	19.86	2.21(5)	1.24(1)	1570(38)	4.50(*)	146.0(56)	4.43	0.11	9.2
Soft 96b	2	7.6	1.04	1.12(4)	1.26(2)	1615(22)	2.22(1)	2.40(5)	4.24	0.62	8.3
Hard	7	40.5	0.70	0.62(1)	1.30(1)	1220(33)	2.46(2)	10.61(24)	4.23	1.79	10.2
Very Hard	8	40.9	1.27	1.51(3)	1.43(1)	418(32)	2.67(1)	51.9(50)	2.14	6.04	14.2
Soft 97	27	102.2	4.19	1.88(5)	1.10(1)	2211(31)	2.42(3)	0.64(7)	3.00	0.10	5.4
Soft 96a	4	49.6	24.01	0.90 fix	1.26(1)	1392(29)	4.24(2)	64.9(9)	3.85	0.83	9.4
Soft 96b	2	7.6	1.14	0.90 fix	1.26(2)	1570(24)	2.20(2)	2.23(5)	4.31	0.59	8.4
Hard	8	40.5	0.84	0.90 fix	1.29(1)	1366(28)	2.49(1)	11.7(3)	4.16	1.81	10.1
Very Hard	7	40.9	1.77	0.90 fix	1.49(2)	376(13)	2.62(2)	47.5(9)	2.41	5.89	14.3
Soft 97	27	102.2	6.71	0.90 fix	1.12(1)	1950(21)	2.30(3)	0.47(4)	3.05	0.10	5.6

Note. — The fits with N_H as a free parameter have 49 degrees of freedom. The uncertainties given in parentheses are in units of the most significant digit. The ‘*’ in row 1 indicates an upper limit imposed to combat an unstable fit. The units for N_H (col. 5) are 10^{22} cm^{-2} . Flux units (cols. 10, 11) are $10^{-8} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ at 2–25 keV. Col. 12 lists the unabsorbed luminosity in units of $10^{37} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ at 1.3–25 keV, for $d = 3.2 \text{ kpc}$.



















